

Peniji i Winderice Ciew The substitution of the second of the second

Prinz v. Bruce R. Smith Irucking Co.

\$1.75 Million Settlement

Date of Settlement:

E.D. Pa., No. 05-3059 Court and Case No.: April 17, 2007.

Barclay Surrick. Type of Action

Motor vehicle accident

injuries:

injuries, multiple fractures to the back and Plaintiffs' Attorney: injuries, mernia and lumbar strain. ribs. Curtis Olsen alleged, among other Charles Prinz III alleged, among other

Richard M. Jurewicz, Galfand Berger, Philadelphia.

Plaintiffs' Experts:

dic surgery; Albert Beatty Jr., M.D. Gastaldo, M.D., Lancaster, neurosurgery Brownstown, Pa., family medicine; John chronic pain; T. Scott Jackson, M.D. Scott Rosenthal, M.D., Abington, Pa. Paul Paxton, Pensacola, Fla., commercial Raymond Peart, M.D., Lancaster, orthope-Merion Station, Pa., thoracic surgery, and

· 对正式的影响是是一种的一种,一种人们的一种,是是一种的一种,

Defense Counsel:

Edelman & Dicker, Philadelphia Walter S. Jenkins, Wilson Elser Moskowitz

Defense Experts:

Pa., orthopedic surgery. Pa., surgery, and John Perry, M.D., Lititz, Elizabeth Genovese, M.D., Bala Cynwyd

Comment:

nance workers employed nance for the turnpike in the Reading and whose duties included roadway mainte-Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, stranded motorists. men were assigned to assist disabled or Lancaster areas. On March 16, 2004, both Prinz, 40, and Olsen, 54, were mainteby τhe

to their truck to call for a row truck. and speaking with the motorist, Olsen bound. After pulling up behind the vehicle stayed with the driver while Prinz returned upon a stranded motorist graveling eastwhich there was freezing rain, they came During the course of the day, during

scope of his employment with Bruce R. Prinz sitting inside on the passenger side ing the Pennsylvania Turnpike truck with median divider, which caused him to cross ice, lost control and struck the concrete Ontario, Canada, was heading east in the Smith Trucking Co. of Mississauga driving a tractor-trailer in the course and both lanes and jackknife, the tractor strikleft lane. He drove over a parch of black At that time, Maxwell Carter, who was

was standing 15 feet away from the acci-Debris from the impact struck Olsen, who

regardless of the road conditions, he failed was negligent. They argued that Carter was to maintain control of his tractor-trailer. tions at the time of the accident, and that driving too fast, 55 mph, for the icy condi-R. Smith Trucking, claiming that Carter Prinz and Olsen sued Carter and Bruce

failed to follow Federal Motor Carrier expert, wrote that the trucking company a roadway and was not visible to oncoming plaintiffs' commercial vehicle operator Through a written report, Paul Paxton,

driving during inclement weather. * ent contractor but had prior diving expericial drivers to exercise extreme care while ence, with proper training and orientation Carrer, who had been driving for Bruce R. Safety regulations by failing to provide the company failed to require its commerfor new drivers. Paxton also restified that Smith for less than a year as an independ-

was located around a sharp curve in the contending that the truck that Prinz was in The defendants initially denied liability

Continued on Page 10

ૄ •	•	€	0	•	•	•	•	۵	
Lawyer to Lawyer Page 18	• Litigation Support Services Page 16	• Classified	• Capitol Report	• Pennsylvania Bulletin Page 11	• News in Brief Page 9	• Oral Arguments	• Geekspeak	• Ethics Forum	
1									